


---

## Signatures of Aging Revisited

---

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 2



MITRE

19980424 039

---

# **Signatures of Aging Revisited**

---

**Study Leader:**  
S. Drell  
R. Jeanloz

**Contributors Include:**  
J. Cornwall  
F. Dyson  
D. Eardley  
R. Garwin  
R. Lelevier  
R. Schwitters  
E. Williams

March 1998

JSR-98-320

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

JASON  
The MITRE Corporation  
1820 Dolley Madison Boulevard  
McLean, Virginia 22102-3481  
(703) 883-6997

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188
<p>Public reporting burden for this collection of information estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for review instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.</p>			
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED	
	March 18, 1998		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE		5. FUNDING NUMBERS	
<b>Signatures of Aging Revisited</b>		13-958534-04	
6. AUTHOR(S)			
J. Cornwall, S. Drell, F. Dyson, D. Eardley, S. Flatte, R. Garwin, R. Jeanloz, R. Lelevier, R. Schwitters, E. Williams			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
The MITRE Corporation JASON Program Office 1820 Dolley Madison Blvd McLean, Virginia 22102		JSR-98-320	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
Department of Energy Research and Development Defense Programs Washington, DC 20585		JSR-98-320	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT		12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		Distribution Statement A	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)			
<p>This study is a follow-on to the review made by JASON during its 1997 Summer Study of what is known about the aging of critical constituents, particularly the high explosives, metals (Pu, U), and polymers in the enduring stockpile. The JASON report (JSR-97-320) that summarized the findings was based on briefings by the three weapons labs (LANL, LLNL, SNL). They presented excellent technical analyses covering a broad range of scientific and engineering problems pertaining to determining signatures of aging. But the report also noted: "Missing, however, from the briefings and the written documents made available to us by the labs and DOE, was evidence of an adequately sharp focus and high priorities on a number of essential near-term needs of maintaining weapons in the stockpile."</p>			
14. SUBJECT TERMS		15. NUMBER OF PAGES	
		16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	SAR

# **Contents**

<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>TECHNICAL PROGRAMS</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Primaries . . . . .	5
2.2	Secondaries . . . . .	8
<b>3</b>	<b>AGING SCIENCE AND REMANUFACTURING</b>	<b>9</b>

# 1 INTRODUCTION

This study is a follow-on to the review made by JASON during its 1997 Summer Study of what is known about the aging of critical constituents, particularly the high explosives, metals (Pu, U), and polymers in the enduring stockpile. The JASON report (JSR-97-320) that summarized the findings was based on briefings by the three weapons labs (LANL, LLNL, SNL). They presented excellent technical analyses covering a broad range of scientific and engineering problems pertaining to determining signatures of aging. But the report also noted: "Missing, however, from the briefings and the written documents made available to us by the labs and DOE, was evidence of an adequately sharp focus and high priorities on a number of essential near-term needs of maintaining weapons in the stockpile."

JSR-97-320 listed a set of recommendations as to the highest priority program needs to "identify age-induced problems in the stockpile, and prepare means to fix them in a timely fashion." The report concluded as follows:

It is necessary to implement these priorities in a timely fashion; they address the short-term (5–10 years) needs of the SSMP. To do so will require strong, effective leadership — within the three weapons labs and from DOE Washington — to make program choices and to assign appropriate resources among and within the three weapons labs. The individual programs briefed to us by the three labs did not show a balance, a focus, and a coordination consistent with these requirements. The panel has been advised by the labs and by the DOE program office in Washington that extensive efforts (e.g. the laboratories' stockpile life extension and enhanced surveillance programs) have been made to achieve the necessary leadership, focus, and balance that are

required for an efficient national program that appropriately addresses the priorities and coordination we are recommending. Our view is that such efforts are important and should be aggressively pursued. It is of particular importance, as will be clear from our later discussion, to coordinate and balance the diverse activities of stewardship, (enhanced) surveillance, and refurbishment. A future study may want to delve more deeply into this broader issue. We note that such a structure clearly exists for the large new facilities that will be important components of the stewardship program over the longer term.

The JASON revisit to the aging problem in January 1998 focused on the work of the Washington Office (DP-20 under the leadership of Deputy Assistant Secretary of Energy for Defense Programs, responsible for Stockpile Management) to provide a broader context for understanding aging issues and addressing the needs they present for stockpile maintenance. We also reviewed the work of the Laboratories on the Stockpile Life Extension Program (SLEP), which is identified as DOE's planning framework for proactive management of system maintenance activities, and the scope of the Enhanced Surveillance Program (ESP) that supports SLEP and plays a critical role in the overall Stockpile Stewardship and Management Program (SSMP).

The major findings of this limited review can be summarized as follows:

1. There is in place at DOE Headquarters an effective leadership for setting program priorities and integrating the activities between the individual labs, and of the SLEP/ESP into the overall SSMP. In particular we were pleased to see evidence of strong inter-lab cooperation.
2. Concerns raised in JSR-97-320 are being addressed in programs that appear to have been strengthened or initiated during the past six months. We welcome these important developments and are encouraged by what

has been learned that has added confidence to our understanding of aging. Specific technical findings are discussed in the next section. In particular some prior concerns about aging of high explosives have been eased and important progress is being made in study of the time scale of Pu aging.

3. An important new steering committee has been created by DOE with balanced participation by lab scientists with officials at headquarters in setting program priorities. This management structure will be valuable for this program in ensuring proper feedback from elements of the complex and appropriate inter lab coordination. We are pleased to note the evidence that it is already proving its effectiveness.

The program is still young and difficult issues remain to be fully addressed. Two examples are integration of remanufacturing requirements into the SSMP and the coordination of the sub-critical experiments at the Nevada Test Site with the lab work, but overall we are very pleased by what we learned and by progress since our review six months ago.

Maintaining expertise within the nuclear weapons laboratories is a major concern over the long term, so we fully approve of the new efforts now being made within the National Laboratories to identify and train young scientists to become leaders in the relevant technical areas. By strengthening relations with universities, it will be possible to ensure that an ongoing supply of technically talented scientists is maintained within the Laboratories. Also, the long-term reliability of the Stockpile will best be ensured by challenging young researchers to take positions of leadership within the weapons programs, as is now being done in the Laboratories.

## **2 TECHNICAL PROGRAMS**

In June/July of 1997 we saw many presentations involving different programs and capabilities at the three labs, all of which had been identified as having potential impact on the surveillance program. In January of 1998, we saw significant progress in bringing these individual programs together to form well-defined cooperative endeavors. Progress includes both coordinating efforts within the Enhanced Surveillance Program, and developing plans for transfer of knowledge and tools to the Core Surveillance Program.

### **2.1 Primaries**

Studies of HE related to aging are strong and have shown rapid development in focus. Continuing effort in coordinating work at the three laboratories, should be emphasized.

One area which seems to be in the preliminary stages of development is bringing the understanding of materials aging appropriately into the hydrodynamic codes. Serious thought needs to be given as to what level of materials information is needed for this purpose. It seems likely that a small number of appropriately chosen macroscopic characteristics (e.g. density) will be most useful for hydrodynamic calculations. Prioritizing the experiments and theoretical calculations to be performed based on what is most immediately useful, as well as using a staged approach in which new work systematically builds on prior results, should be emphasized.

One of the most important components of the ESP will be to document the micro-physical effects of aging in Pu in order to understand how the weapon physics package evolves with time. We are pleased to learn that a

program of accelerated aging using  $Pu^{239}$  spiked with 5%  $Pu^{238}$  is under way, including the fact that care will be taken to make sure that samples of spiked and normal plutonium start out at time zero from the same baseline.

We were impressed by the efforts described to characterize the atomic-scale structure (by PAS and synchrotron-based XAFS), microstructure (e.g., by transmission electron microscopy) and the bulk physical properties of both aged and new Pu alloys of different compositions across the range of interest. These analytical methods will provide key information for documenting the effects of aging, not only in actual pits but also in samples designed to have accelerated aging (indeed, in ensuring that the accelerated-aging samples are truly reproducing changes taking place in pits that have been aged without acceleration). The latter will give an important predictive capability that will alert researchers within just a few years of effects that would otherwise take place in decades. This information is also essential for theoreticians, both to help calibrate atomistic models of aging phenomena and to assess the reliability of these models. Moreover, the methods being developed and used to characterize Pu alloys will no doubt prove useful in monitoring the remanufacture of pits over the coming years.

New experiments on the equation of state and the thermodynamic properties of Pu at elevated pressures and temperatures are addressing significant questions that require resolution, and doing so in a program that is both balanced and well coordinated. We approve of the mix of static and dynamic experiments that were described (both underground and above-ground in the latter case), and were impressed by the level of collaboration among groups having complementary strengths in the different National Laboratories.

A crucial question attending such accelerated aging studies remains unanswered: How can we be sure that the effects of accelerated aging are the same as the effects of natural aging? To answer this question, we need to observe and understand in detail the various processes of annealing and mi-

gration that will not be accelerated in the spiked samples. The combination of accelerated damage with unaccelerated annealing and migration might cause either more or less severe effects than unaccelerated damage with unaccelerated annealing and migration. For the purpose of disentangling the effects of damage and annealing, it would be helpful to study the behavior of samples of plutonium with various fractions of  $Pu^{238}$ . Besides zero and 5%, other fractions such as 1%, 2%, 10%, 20%, ... should be studied, so far as environmental and safety regulations permit.

It might appear that these and other accelerated-aging experiments on plutonium could only be done by melting the two  $Pu$  samples in order to mix them, thus in effect resetting the  $Pu^{239}$  to zero age. But this is not necessarily the case. One can bond by physical pressure very flat plates of  $Pu^{238}$  and  $Pu^{239}$  at various temperatures well below melting and study, at different accelerated ages, various defect creation and transport properties in transverse sections of the bonded plates. In this way one can take old  $Pu$  samples and further age them, or study diffusion lengths and other transport properties most easily studied in inhomogeneous systems in plutonium of any age. One might also find that studies of inhomogeneous systems allow for more stringent tests of the modeling of defect properties than can be done simply with homogeneous-sample data: for example, comparing the properties of new  $Pu^{239}$  mixed homogeneously with enough  $Pu^{238}$  to produce an effective age of 100 years with those of 40-year-old  $Pu^{239}$  diffusively bonded to  $Pu^{238}$  and seasoned for a further 60 years of effective age.

## **2.2 Secondaries**

Studies leading to a predictive understanding of canned sub-assembly (CSA), or secondaries, aging are driven by the technical difficulty of package disassembly for direct inspection. The required strong correlation of fundamental studies, development and application of advanced diagnostic tools, and coordination with core surveillance activities, is being developed along constructive lines. Continuing emphasis on improving collaboration between diagnostics development with materials characterization efforts is important in this work. Emphasis on two types of diagnostics is required: 1) In-situ diagnostics for characterizing the CSA environment are likely to have a strong pay-off in prolonging life; and 2) Non-destructive ex-situ diagnostics are important for minimizing the cost of identifying units that have undergone significant degradation.

### **3 AGING SCIENCE AND REMANUFACTURING**

We emphasize a point made in our last report, which also primarily reviewed and commented on questions of aging rather than on questions of remanufacture. But we did comment there on the need for an early connection between aging studies and remanufacture. We still remain concerned that there is insufficient coupling now between stockpile aging and surveillance issues and issues of remanufacturing and possibly redesigning component parts. A program of selective preventive remanufacture—replacing some components before one knows the full science story about their aging and failure rates—can be cost-effective, if it is well-coordinated with the science studies on aging. One need not wait until aging studies on a specific component are finished before addressing questions of its remanufacture and replacement; preemptive replacement may be a superior approach, provided that there is good evidence that the replacement part really works. We suggest that the ESP Steering Committee create and maintain closer contacts with counterparts in such remanufacturing initiatives as ADaPT.

## DISTRIBUTION LIST

Director of Space and SDI Programs  
SAF/AQSC  
1060 Air Force Pentagon  
Washington, DC 20330-1060

CMDR & Program Executive Officer  
U S Army/CSSD-ZA  
Strategic Defense Command  
PO Box 15280  
Arlington, VA 22215-0150

Superintendent  
Code 1424  
Attn Documents Librarian  
Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, CA 93943

Director  
Technology Directorate  
Office of Naval Research  
Room 407  
800 N. Quincy Street  
Arlington, VA 20305-1000

DTIC [2]  
8725 John Jay Kingman Road  
Suite 0944  
Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-6218

Dr A. Michael Andrews  
Director of Technology  
SARD-TT  
Room 3E480  
Research Development Acquisition  
Washington, DC 20301-0103

Dr Albert Brandenstein  
Chief Scientist  
Office of Nat'l Drug Control Policy  
Executive Office of the President  
Washington, DC 20500

Dr H Lee Buchanan, III  
Director  
DARPA/DSO  
3701 North Fairfax Drive  
Arlington, VA 22203-1714

Dr Collier  
Chief Scientist  
U S Army Strategic Defense Command  
PO Box 15280  
Arlington, VA 22215-0280

D A R P A Library  
3701 North Fairfax Drive  
Arlington, VA 22209-2308

Dr Victor Demarines, Jr.  
President and Chief Exec Officer  
The MITRE Corporation  
A210  
202 Burlington Road  
Bedford, MA 01730-1420

Mr Dan Flynn [5]  
OSWR  
Washington, DC 20505

Dr Paris Genalis  
Deputy Director  
OUSD(A&T)/S&TS/NW  
The Pentagon, Room 3D1048  
Washington, DC 20301

Dr Lawrence K. Gershwin  
NIC/NIO/S&T  
7E47, OHB  
Washington, DC 20505

Mr David Havlik  
Manager  
Weapons Program Coordination Office  
MS 9006  
Sandia National Laboratories  
PO Box 969  
Livermore, CA 94551-0969

## DISTRIBUTION LIST

Dr. Helmut Hellwig  
Deputy Asst Secretary  
(Science, Technology and Engineering)  
SAF/AQR  
1919 S. Eads Street  
Arlington, VA 22202-3053

Dr Robert G Henderson  
Director  
JASON Program Office  
The MITRE Corporation  
1820 Dolley Madison Blvd  
Mailstop W553  
McLean, VA 22102

J A S O N Library [5]  
The MITRE Corporation  
Mail Stop W002  
1820 Dolley Madison Blvd  
McLean, VA 22102

Dr Anita Jones  
Department of Defense  
DOD, DDR&E  
The Pentagon, Room 3E1014  
Washington, DC 20301

Mr. O' Dean P. Judd  
Los Alamos National Laboratory  
Mailstop F650  
Los Alamos, NM 87545

Dr Bobby R Junker  
Office of Naval Research  
Code 111  
800 North Quincy Street  
Arlington, VA 22217

Dr. Martha Krebs  
Director  
Energy Research  
1000 Independence Ave, SW  
Washington, DC 20858

Dr Ken Kress  
Office of Research and Development  
809 Ames Building  
Washington, DC 20505

Lt Gen, Howard W. Leaf, ( Retired)  
Director, Test and Evaluation  
HQ USAF/TE  
1650 Air Force Pentagon  
Washington, DC 20330-1650

Mr. Larry Lynn  
Director  
DARPA/DIRO  
3701 North Fairfax Drive  
Arlington, VA 22203-1714

Dr. John Lyons  
Director of Corporate Laboratory  
US Army Laboratory Command  
2800 Powder Mill Road  
Adelphi, MD 20783-1145

Col Ed Mahen  
DARPA/DIRO  
3701 North Fairfax Drive  
Arlington, VA 22203-1714

Dr. Arthur Manfredi  
ZETA Associates  
10300 Eaton Drive  
Suite 500  
Fairfax VA 22030-2239

Dr George Mayer  
Scientific Director  
Army Research Office  
4015 Wilson Blvd  
Tower 3  
Arlington, VA 22203-2529

Dr Bill Murphy  
ORD  
Washington, DC 20505

## DISTRIBUTION LIST

Dr Julian C Nall  
Institute for Defense Analyses  
1801 North Beauregard Street  
Alexandria, VA 22311

Dr Ari Patrinos [5]  
Associate Director for  
Biological and Environmental Research  
ER-70  
US Department of Energy  
1901 Germantown Road  
Germantown, MD 207874-1290

Dr Bruce Pierce  
USD(A)D S  
The Pentagon, Room 3D136  
Washington, DC 20301-3090

Mr John Rausch [2]  
Division Head 06 Department  
NAVOPINTCEN  
4301 Suitland Road  
Washington, DC 20390

Records Resource  
The MITRE Corporation  
Mailstop W115  
1820 Dolley Madison Blvd  
McLean, VA 22102

Dr Victor H Reis [5]  
US Department of Energy  
DP-1, Room 4A019  
1000 Independence Ave, SW  
Washington, DC 20585

Dr Fred E Saalfeld  
Director  
Office of Naval Research  
800 North Quincy Street  
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Dr Dan Schuresko  
O/DDS&T  
Washington, DC 20505

Dr John Schuster  
Technical Director of Submarine  
and SSBN Security Program  
Department of the Navy OP-02T  
The Pentagon Room 4D534  
Washington, DC 20350-2000

Dr Michael A Stroscio  
US Army Research Office  
P. O. Box 12211  
Research Triangle NC 27709-2211

Ambassador James Sweeney  
Chief Science Advisor  
USACDA  
320 21st Street NW  
Washington, DC 20451

Dr George W Ullrich [3]  
Deputy Director  
Defense Nuclear Agency  
6801 Telegraph Road  
Alexandria, VA 22310

Dr. David Whelan  
Director  
DARPA/TTO  
3701 North Fairfax Drive  
Arlington, VA 22203-1714

Dr Edward C Whitman  
Dep Assistant Secretary of the Navy  
C3I Electronic Warfare & Space  
Department of the Navy  
The Pentagon 4D745  
Washington, DC 20350-5000